

## Two QR Factorizations

We compare two techniques for  $QR$  factorizations of a full-rank matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , with  $m \geq n$ . While there are a few other methods available for use, we will talk here about the *modified Gram-Schmidt* process (MGS), and the Householder QR factorization (HQR).

**MGS** *thin* QR factorization:

$$A = QR, \quad \text{where}$$

$Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  satisfies  $Q^t Q = I$  and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is upper triangular. The cost is  $2mn^2 + O(mn)$  flops. If  $A$  is overwritten by  $Q$ , then only  $\frac{1}{2}n^2 + O(n)$  words of memory are required. If  $\tilde{Q}$  and  $\tilde{R}$  are the computed versions of  $Q$  and  $R$ , then there exists  $\delta A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $A + \delta A = \tilde{Q}\tilde{R}$ , where  $\|\delta A\| = \|A\|O(\mu)$ , and  $\|\tilde{Q}^t \tilde{Q} - I\| = \kappa(A)O(\mu)$ .

**HQR** factored- $Q$  *full* QR factorization:

$$A = QR, \quad \text{where}$$

$Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  satisfies  $Q^t Q = Q Q^t = I$  and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  is upper triangular. We say “factored” here because HQR does not produce  $Q$ , but instead produces  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ , where  $H_k = H(u_k)$  and  $Q = H_1 H_2 \cdots H_s$ . The cost is  $2mn^2 - \frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(mn)$  flops. If  $A$  is overwritten by  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  and the strict upper triangle of  $R$  (for example), then only  $O(n)$  words of memory are required. If  $\tilde{R}$  is the computed version of  $R$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  is the *exactly formed*  $Q$  matrix defined by the *computed*  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$ , then there exists  $\delta A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  with  $A + \delta A = \tilde{Q}\tilde{R}$ , where  $\|\delta A\| = \|A\|O(\mu)$ .

**HQR** explicit- $Q$  *full* QR factorization:

Let's say  $Q = [Q_1 \ Q_2]$ , where  $Q_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . If only  $Q_1$  is needed, then the flop requirements are doubled, to  $4mn^2 - \frac{4}{3}n^3$ , and the memory requirements are  $\frac{1}{2}mn + O(n)$ . If  $\bar{Q}_1$  is the computed version of  $Q_1$ , then  $\|\bar{Q}_1^t \bar{Q}_1 - I\| = O(\mu)$ . If all of  $Q$  is explicitly required, then the flop requirements become  $4m^2n - 2mn^2 + \frac{2}{3}n^3$  and memory requirements become  $O(m^2)$  words. If  $\bar{Q}$  is that computed version of  $\tilde{Q}$ , then  $\|\bar{Q}^t \bar{Q} - I\| = O(\mu)$ .

### MGS & HQR

both represent an orthonormal basis (O.B.) for  $\text{ColSp}(A)$ . In exact arithmetic, each column of  $Q$  from MGS is  $\pm$  the corresponding column of  $Q_1$  from HQR. In other words, the thin part of the full  $QR$  is the thin  $QR$ . MGS computes  $Q_1$  faster, but explicit HQR gives a “more orthogonal” basis. Implemented with care, both methods are backward stable for (LS).