Errors in Gaussian Elimination

Many would mark the birth of modern numerical analysis as a branch of mathematics with the 1947 paper of von Neumann and Goldstine: "Numerical Inverting of Matrices of High Order". A perspective hinted at, if not explicitly stated there, of viewing the computed solution as the exact solution to another problem, is called *backward error analysis*. If we can show that our computed solution is always the exact solution to a nearby problem, then we call the method *backward stable*.

Without pivoting, GE is not stable. Here is a backward error result that applies when no zero pivots are encountered: If \tilde{L} and \tilde{U} are the computed versions of Land U, respectfully, then there exists an $\delta A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ for which

$$\tilde{L}\tilde{U} = A + \delta A$$
, where $\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \frac{\|L\|\|U\|}{\|A\|} O(\mu).$

This result does not imply backward stability because ||L|| or ||U|| can be arbitrarily large. But with partial pivoting ||L|| = O(n) and the only concern is with ||U||. Turning to U we define the growth factor for GE to be

$$\rho = \|U\| / \|A\|.$$

The analogous backward error result for GEPP is then

$$\tilde{L}\tilde{U} = \tilde{P}(A + \delta A), \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \rho n O(\mu)$$

This implies GEPP is backward stable for fixed n if $\rho = O(1)$.

For fixed n and nonsingular A, ρ cannot be arbitrarily large, so GEPP is technically backward stable. On the other hand, we know examples for which $||U|| = O(2^n)$ (and this really violates the spirit of $O(\mu)$). We haven't (yet) run into such examples in applications, so a popular compromise is to call GEPP "backward stable in practice": in real world problems GEPP has (thus far) given the exact factorization of a matrix relatively close to A.

Backward and forward substitution, on the other hand, are clearly backward stable. The result for back substitution is that the computed \tilde{x} satisfies

$$(R + \delta R)\tilde{x} = b$$
, where $\frac{\|\delta R\|}{\|R\|} = O(\mu)$.

Combining the results above, we can say the that the computed solution, \tilde{x} to Ax = b, using G.E.P.P with forward and backward substitution, satisfies

$$(A + \delta A)\tilde{x} = b$$
, where $\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \rho n^3 O(\mu)$.

The n^3 term above (a product of 3 upper bounds that depend on norms) appears quite pessimistic, for in practice we see

$$\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} = \rho n \mathcal{O}(\mu).$$