

1. Write the subroutine `houseqr` to compute the QR factorization. `houseqr.m` should have as its first line:

```
function [A,u1] = houseqr(A)
```

It should implement the Householder QR factorization without explicitly forming  $Q$ . The  $\tilde{u}_k$  vectors should overwrite  $A$  in the strictly lower triangle, and the  $\tilde{u}_k(1)$  scalars should be stored in  $u1(k)$ .  $R$  will be stored in the upper triangle of  $A$ .

2. Write the subroutine `houseqtact` to compute  $Q^t b$ , given the “decapitated”  $\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_n$  stored in the strictly lower triangle of  $Qu$  and their “heads” in  $u1$  (so  $u1 = (\tilde{u}_1(1), \tilde{u}_2(1), \dots, \tilde{u}_n(1))^T$ ). `houseqtact.m` should have as its first line:

```
function y = houseqtact(Qu,u1,b)
```

It should implement the algorithm we discussed in class/handout to find  $Q^T b$ .

3. Hand in and email me the output, `prog4run.txt`, of the test routine `NLAProg4test.m` and your `houseqr.m` and `houseqtact.m`

Notes:

- (a) For efficiency, we do not form  $Q$  explicitly when doing the Householder  $QR$  factorization, we just save the  $\tilde{u}_i$  over the columns of  $A$ , but below  $R_1$ . This is why we need the `HOUSEQTACT` routine.
- (b) Please notice how this *admittedly opaque* storage scheme results in remarkably little data movement and efficient memory use.
- (c) Remember to document your code. This means using comment lines to describe all input and output variables, and to describe what the code is doing when it is not obvious to the uninitiated.
- (d) Don't divide by 0.
- (e) You might be interested to see how this method compares to your `mgs` and `cgs` code. If you have the interest, try running a test to see which, if either, of `xqr` or `xmgs` or `xcgs` is consistently closer to `xtrue`.