Conditioning and Stability

A problem is well conditioned if a small change in the input creates a small change in the output (solution).

A computation is backward stable if it produces the exact solution to a nearby problem.

We say that a *method* is backward stable for a set of problems if it is backward stable for each problem in the set.

If the problem we are trying to solve has a unique solution, then we can formulate it as "evaluate f(x)", where x represents the input and f(x) represents the solution (output). Let's represent our input space by \mathcal{D} and our output space by \mathcal{R} . Then our computed result can be represented by $\bar{f}: \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}$, where the exact result is represented by $f: \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}$. That is, $\bar{f}(x)$ is our computed approximation to f(x).

A problem is well conditioned in \mathcal{D} if for all small δ with $x + \delta \in \mathcal{D}$, $f(x + \delta)$ is close to f(x).

A method is *backward stable* if for all $\bar{f}(x) \in \mathcal{R}$, there exists a small ϵ with $x + \epsilon \in \mathcal{D}$ and such that $\bar{f}(x) = f(x + \epsilon)$.

Note that conditioning has nothing to do with methods and that stability has nothing to do with problems. This decomposition of our computation into the independent ideas of *stability-of-the-method* and *conditioning-of-the-problem* is fundamental to modern scientific computation.

Now suppose we use a backward stable method to solve a well conditioned problem. Then since the method is backward stable there is a small ϵ such that $\bar{f}(x) = f(x + \epsilon)$, and since the problem is well conditioned $f(x + \epsilon)$ is close to f(x). Thus, $\bar{f}(x)$ (the computed solution) is close to f(x) (the exact solution).

Plainly spoken: a backward stable method applied to a well conditioned problem gives an accurate solution.

Here we have used the words *small* and *close* to give us the freedom to consider either absolute or relative errors and to blurr the lines between good/bad and easy/hard according to our application.

As an example, consider the addition of 2 real numbers. Here we will say f(x,y) = x + y using the method $\bar{f}(x,y) = \mathrm{fl}(\mathrm{fl}(x) + \mathrm{fl}(y))$. A backward rounding error analysis shows that

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \bar{f}(x,y) & = & (x(1+\delta_x)+y(1+\delta_y))(1+\delta_+) \\ & = & x(1+\epsilon_x)+y(1+\epsilon_y) \\ & = & f(x(1+\epsilon_x),y(1+\epsilon_y)), \text{ where } |\epsilon_x|, |\epsilon_y| \leq 2\mu + O(\mu^2). \end{array}$$

Thus, the addition of two real numbers is backward stable (in a relative sense, at least).

On the other hand, if |x + y| is small, then we know that small changes in x and/or y can lead to big relative changes in x + y. This means the addition of two real numbers can be illconditioned (this is digit cancellation from a conditioning perspective!).