

## Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting

While it is true that almost all nonsingular matrices can be triangularized using only Gauss Transforms (add multiple of one row to another), it does *not* make a good general purpose numerical method. The problem is caused, as you might suspect (?), by small pivot elements. Consider the  $k^{th}$  step, zeroing the  $(i, k)$  entries with multipliers  $m_{ik} = -a_{ik}^{(k-1)}/a_{kk}^{(k-1)}$ ,  $k+1, k+2, \dots, n$ :

$$a_i^{(k)} = a_i^{(k-1)} + m_{ik}a_k^{(k-1)},$$

giving

$$A^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{U}^{(k)} & X \\ 0 & \hat{A}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $a_{kk}^{(k-1)}$  is small, then  $|m_{ik}|$  will be large, and two bad things will happen: (i) information in the entries of  $A^{(k-1)}$  gets swamped when the large vector  $m_{ik}a_k^{(k-1)}$  gets added to  $a_i^{(k-1)}$ , and (ii) that information is replaced by basically the same value for each row:  $a_i^{(k)}$  will be mostly in the direction  $a_k^{(k-1)}$  for *all* of the rows  $i = k+1, k+2, \dots, n$  of  $A^{(k)}$ , moving  $\hat{A}^{(k)}$  closer to the set of singular matrices.

So it's time to bring back row operation R2: Before zeroing the elements in column  $k$ , we find  $\max_{k \leq j \leq n} |a_{jk}^{(k-1)}|$  (the |biggest| element of the first column of  $\hat{A}^{(k-1)}$ ). If that max occurs in row  $p$ , then we interchange rows  $k$  and  $p$  of  $A^{(k-1)}$ . This is called *partial pivoting*. Now the |biggest| entry in the first row of the permuted  $\hat{A}^{(k-1)}$  is in its  $(1, 1)$  position, and thus all of the multipliers for this step satisfy  $|m_{ik}| \leq 1$ .

In the language of matrix operations: Before applying the Gauss transform  $M_k$ , we apply the permutation  $P_{kp}$ . The  $k^{th}$  step of GE with partial pivoting (GEPP) is

$$A^{(k)} = M_k P_k A^{(k-1)},$$

and after  $n - 1$  steps

$$A^{(n-1)} = M_{n-1} P_{n-1} \cdots M_2 P_2 M_1 P_1 A \equiv U.$$

If  $A$  is nonsingular, this can always be done. It does not give the  $A = LU$  factorization as before, because the permutations (row interchanges) mess up the lower triangularity of  $L$ . In order to see what factorization we do get, we need to interpret the matrix  $M_{n-1} P_{n-1} \cdots M_2 P_2 M_1 P_1$ . To that end, take  $j < k \leq p$  and notice that an  $e_j$  Gauss transform followed by a  $(k, p)$  permutation is that permutation followed by a different (permuted  $m_j$ )  $e_j$  Gauss transform:

$$P_k M_j = P_k (I + m_j e_j^T) = P_k + P_k m_j e_j^T P_k^T P_k \equiv (I + \tilde{m}_j e_j^T) P_k \equiv \tilde{M}_j P_k.$$

Now define  $N_i = I + n_i e_i^T$ , where  $n_i = P_{n-1} \cdots P_{i+1} m_i$ .

$$M_{n-1} P_{n-1} \cdots M_2 P_2 M_1 P_1 = (N_{n-1} \cdots N_2 N_1) (P_{n-1} \cdots P_2 P_1) \equiv L^{-1} P,$$

giving  $PA = LU$ . This simple change makes GE general purpose; in fact GEPP (and then forward and backward substitution) is the most often used method for solving  $Ax = b$ .