## Errors in Gaussian Elimination

Many would mark the birth of numerical linear algebra as a branch of mathematics with the 1947 paper of von Neumann and Goldstine: "Numerical Inverting of Matrices of High Order". A perspective hinted at, if not explicitly stated there, of viewing the computed solution as the exact solution to another problem, is called backward error analysis. If we can show that our computed solution is always the exact solution to a nearby problem, then we call the method backward stable.

Without pivoting, GE is not stable. Here is a backward error result that applies when no zero pivots are encountered: If $\tilde{L}$ and $\tilde{U}$ are the computed versions of $L$ and $U$, respectfully, then there exists an $\delta A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ for which

$$
\tilde{L} \tilde{U}=A+\delta A, \quad \text { where } \quad \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}=\frac{\|L\|\|U\|}{\|A\|} \mathrm{O}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) .
$$

This result does not imply backward stability because $\|L\|$ or $\|U\|$ can be arbitrarily large. But with partial pivoting $\|L\|=\mathrm{O}(n)$ and the only concern is with $\|U\|$. Turning to $U$ we define the growth factor for GE to be

$$
\rho=\|U\| /\|A\| .
$$

The analogous backward error result for GEPP is then

$$
\tilde{L} \tilde{U}=\tilde{P}(A+\delta A), \quad \text { where } \quad \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}=\rho n \mathrm{O}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) .
$$

This implies GEPP is backward stable for fixed $n$ if $\rho=\mathrm{O}(1)$.
For fixed $n$ and nonsingular $A, \rho$ cannot be arbitrarily large, so GEPP is technically backward stable. On the other hand, we know examples for which $\|U\|=\mathrm{O}\left(2^{n}\right)$ (and this really violates the spirit of $\mathrm{O}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ ). We haven't (yet) run into such examples in applications, so a popular compromise is to call GEPP "backward stable in practice": in real world problems GEPP has (thus far, and as far as we know) given the exact factorization of a matrix relatively close to $A$.

Backward and forward substitution, on the other hand, are clearly backward stable. The result for back substitution is that the computed $\tilde{x}$ satisfies

$$
(R+\delta R) \tilde{x}=b, \quad \text { where } \quad \frac{\|\delta R\|}{\|R\|}=\mathrm{O}(\boldsymbol{\mu})
$$

Combining the results above, we can say the that the computed solution, $\tilde{x}$ to $A x=b$, using G.E.P.P with forward and backward substitution, satisfies

$$
(A+\delta A) \tilde{x}=b, \quad \text { where } \quad \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}=\rho n^{3} \mathrm{O}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) .
$$

The $n^{3}$ term above (a product of 3 upper bounds that depend on norms) appears quite pessimistic, for in practice we see

$$
\frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} \approx \rho n \mathrm{O}(\boldsymbol{\mu})
$$

