

1. Write a routine to approximate the machine epsilon. Call this function subroutine `macheps`; the first line of `macheps.m` should be
function [mu] = macheps
This routine does not have any input variables.
2. Write a routine to find the roots of $ax^2 + bx + c$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ using (a variation of) the quadratic formula. Call this function subroutine `quadroot`; the first line of `quadroot.m` should be
function [x1,x2,flag] = quadroot(a,b,c)
This code should
 - (a) Take as input the real numbers a, b and c . Return as output the “roots” x_1 and x_2 , and an information flag, *flag*.
 - (b) Use real arithmetic (do not attempt to take the square root of a negative number). In the case of complex conjugate roots, your program should tell the user that x_1 is the real part and x_2 is the imaginary part. You should use the third output variable, *flag*, for such messages.
 - (c) Avoid cancellation where possible.
 - (d) Try to avoid overflow by (i) scaling, and then (ii) avoiding divides by anything “really-close-to-zero” (this is not the only way to get overflow, but it is definitely the most common). If x_1 and/or x_2 are not returned, they should be set to NaN’s, and the error flag should indicate this.
 - (e) Be documented. Most importantly, you need to explain – *leaving no ambiguity* – what each input and output variable is and how the output should be interpreted.
3. See https://arnold.hosted.uark.edu/NA/Pages/function_skel.pdf and <https://arnold.hosted.uark.edu/NA/Pages/Matlab1.pdf> for help with this assignment.
4. When you are satisfied your program works, run the testing routine `NAProg1Test.m` (<https://arnold.hosted.uark.edu/NA/prog.html>); it will generate an output file called `prog1run.txt`. Email `macheps.m`, `quadroot.m` and `prog1run.txt` to `arnold@uark.edu`. Also, hand in printouts of `macheps.m`, `quadroot.m`, and `prog1run.txt` (in class or slide under my office door).

Hint: The roots of a polynomial are not changed if the coefficients are multiplied by a non-zero constant (so we can scale this problem easily). Your problem should probably first be scaled so that the $|\text{largest}|$ coefficient is between, say, $1/2$ and 2 in magnitude.